

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 GLORIA ROSELL,

8 Plaintiff(s),

Case No. 2:16-CV-2731 JCM (PAL)

ORDER

9 v.

10 AO VENTURES, LLC,

11 Defendant(s).

12
13 Presently before the court is Magistrate Judge Leen’s report and recommendation. (ECF
14 No. 2). No objections have been filed, and the deadline for filing objections has since passed.

15 This court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or
16 recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects
17 to a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation, then the court is required to “make a de novo
18 determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made.”
19 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

20 Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct “any review at
21 all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149
22 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a
23 magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See United*
24 *States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review
25 employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no
26 objections were made).

1 Plaintiff has not objected to the report and recommendation. Upon reviewing the
2 recommendation and underlying briefs, the court finds that good cause appears to adopt the
3 magistrate judge's findings.

4 Accordingly,

5 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Magistrate Judge Leen's
6 report and recommendation (ECF No. 2) be, and the same hereby is, adopted in its entirety.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the instant case be, and the same hereby is, DISMISSED
8 without prejudice.

9 The clerk shall enter judgment accordingly and close the case.

10 DATED September 7, 2017.

11 
12 _____
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE